### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT 10 AUG 2004

PCT Article 36 and Rule '	70	)	ĺ	٠	
---------------------------	----	---	---	---	--

WIPO PCT

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION	ER See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416).			
International Application No.	International Filing Date (day/month/year)	te	Priority Date (day/month/year)		
PCT/AU2003/000481 24 April 2003 26 April 2002			26 April 2002		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or	national classification an	nd IPC			
Int. Cl. <sup>7</sup> B28B 21/18, 7/30					
Applicant VERTECH HUME PTY LTD e	t al				
1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.					
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 4	sheets, including this c	cover sheet.			
amended and are the basis for th	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).				
These annexes consist of a total	of sheet(s).		·		
3. This report contains indications relatin	g to the following items:				
I X Basis of the report					
II Priority					
III Non-establishment of o	III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
IV Lack of unity of inventi	IV Lack of unity of invention				
V X Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
VI Certain documents cited					
VII Certain defects in the international application					
VIII X Certain observations on the international application					
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion	of the report		
16 October 2003	Date of completion of the report 27 July 2004		or the report		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU		Authorized Officer			
AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRA E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929		M. BREMERS Telephone No. (02)	6283 2052		

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/AU2003/000481

I. ·	]	Basis of the repor	rt		
1.			nents of the international application:*		
	X	the international	application as originally filed.		
		the description,	pages, as originally filed,		
			pages, filed with the demand,		
	_		pages, received on with the letter of		
		the claims,	pages, as originally filed,		
			pages, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19,		
			pages, filed with the demand,		
			pages, received on with the letter of		
	Ш	the drawings,	pages, as originally filed,		
			pages, filed with the demand,		
		.aaa.	pages, received on with the letter of		
	Ш	the sequence list	ing part of the description:		
			pages , as originally filed		
			pages , filed with the demand		
			pages, received on with the letter of		
2.	With	regard to the lang	guage, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in		
	These	e elements were av	application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  vailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:		
	the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).				
the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).					
			•		
		and/or 55.3).	he translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2		
3.	With	regard to any nuc	leotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international		
	pre	diminary examina	tion was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:		
		contained in the i	international application in written form.		
		filed together wit	th the international application in computer readable form.		
		furnished subseq	uently to this Authority in written form.		
		furnished subseq	uently to this Authority in computer readable form.		
		The statement the international app	at the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the lication as filed has been furnished.		
		The statement that been furnished	at the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has		
1.		The amendments	have resulted in the cancellation of:		
		the desc	ription, pages		
		the clain	ns, Nos.		
		the draw	rings, sheets/fig.		
5.	$\Box$	This report has be	een established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to		
		go beyond the dis	sclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**		
•	Rep rep	lacement sheets wh ort as "originally fil	ich have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this led" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17).		
* *			containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report		

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/AU2003/000481

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement	1.	Statement
--------------	----	-----------

Novelty (N)	Claims	YES
	Claims 1-9	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	YES
	Claims 1-9	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-9	YES
	Claims	NO

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

#### Citations

Derwent Abstract Accession No. 87-204821/29, class P64 SU 1276510 A (BOLKVADZE) 15 December 1986 Abstract (& SU 1276510, Figures)

GB 1545223 A (HANFORD BOOT RESEARCH PTY LTD) 2 May 1979 Claims

EP 545346 B (TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITAT DRESDEN) 12 June 1996 Claims and Figure 2

#### Reasoning

SU 1276510 discloses forming a hollow concrete column wherein surplus water is removed through openings in a mandrel which includes a valve used for controlling the removal of water. Consequently, independent claims 1-3 are not novel. The features defined in claims 4-9 are either disclosed in SU 1276510 or are features that cannot be considered to involve an inventive step.

GB 1545223 discloses a mould liner containing numerous valves that are normally closed. When pressure is applied to a concrete slurry, water is forced out of the container through the valves. Although this document does not disclose the vertical moulding of long articles it is considered that it would not be inventive to apply the disclosed mould liner to forming such articles. Consequently, independent claims 1-3 lack an inventive step. The features defined in claims 4-9 are either disclosed in GB 1545223 or are features that cannot be considered to involve an inventive step.

EP 545346 discloses a filter tube for the removal of excess water from a concrete structure. The filter has perforations in its wall and a control tube fits inside the filter tube. The control tube is inserted fully into the filter tube whilst the concrete is being poured. As the concrete is being compacted the control tube is withdrawn so that excess water flows through the perforations into the filter tube. Although this document does not disclose the vertical moulding of long articles it is considered that it would not be inventive to apply the disclosed filter tube to forming such articles. Consequently, independent claims 1-3 lack an inventive step. The features defined in claims 4-9 are either disclosed in EP 545346 or are features that cannot be considered to involve an inventive step.

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/AU2003/000481

VIII.	Certain	observations	on the	international	application
-------	---------	--------------	--------	---------------	-------------

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claim 5 is not clear because it defines "a mould liner as claimed in claim 3". However, claim 3 defines a "mould" rather than a "mould liner".